Clareus Scientific

Teacher Leadership For the 21st Century

Moses Afful Jr.[1]* and Shaw T Afful[2]

[1]*University of Charleston, West Virginia, USA*

[2]*General Education Teacher in Henry County Schools, Brenau University, Georgia*

***Corresponding Author:** Moses Afful Jr., University of Charleston, West Virginia, USA.*

Abstract

As the educational landscape becomes increasingly complex due to rapid technological advancements, shifting demographics, and evolving societal needs, traditional hierarchical leadership models are no longer sufficient. This paper explores the transformative role of teacher leadership in navigating 21st-century educational challenges, emphasizing the importance of empowering teachers as agents of change. Drawing from qualitative research and lived experiences, Drs. Shaw T. Afful and Moses O. Afful Jr. examine the Seven Domains of Teacher Leadership as articulated by the Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium (2011), providing historical context and evidence-based best practices for implementation in school settings.

The work synthesizes key leadership theories—including relational, distributed, and complexity leadership—and underscores the essential 21st-century competencies such as Critical Thinking, Creativity, Communication, and Collaboration. Veteran teachers, with their extensive classroom experience and institutional knowledge, emerge as vital contributors to instructional Leadership, mentoring, and school-wide improvement efforts. However, the paper also confronts the challenges inherent in implementing teacher leadership, such as technological readiness, institutional barriers, and role ambiguity.

By integrating theory, research, and field-based insights, this study not only contributes to the growing body of scholarship on teacher leadership but also offers practical strategies for fostering leadership capacity among educators. Ultimately, it argues that cultivating strong teacher leaders is imperative to enhancing student achievement and ensuring educational equity in the 21st Century.

Introduction

The educational landscape of the 21st Century is unprecedented in its complexity, driven by rapid technological advancements and evolving societal demands. This dynamic environment poses significant challenges to traditional teaching methods and calls for innovative approaches to Leadership within schools. Preparing "Generation Alpha"—a generation inherently immersed in digital technology—for an unpredictable future demands a fresh style of Leadership, one that extends beyond conventional hierarchical structures. It is in this context that teacher leadership emerges as a critical and

timely imperative, essential for fostering adaptability and strategic thinking within educational institutions.

Teacher leadership, though lacking a single universal definition, has firmly established its place in education over the past three decades. While initial models often separated school leadership from teaching responsibilities (Berry et al., 2013; York-Barr & Duke, 2004), a growing body of research now highlights its profound impact. Even without a direct, linear link to student learning, studies indicate that teacher leadership significantly enhances teacher quality, which in turn positively influences student outcomes (York-Barr & Duke, 2004). Teacher leaders are not merely classroom instructors; they are individuals who transcend the boundaries of their classrooms, actively working to improve the broader learning environment, serving as mentors, coaches, and facilitators of change (Afful S, 2025). This expanded role, particularly as defined by the seven domains of the Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium (2011), is foundational to meeting the multifaceted demands of 21st-century schooling.

This article explores the evolving concept of teacher leadership in the 21st Century, examining its theoretical underpinnings, essential competencies, and practical implications. It delves into how complexity, relational, and distributed leadership theories inform the understanding and practice of teacher leadership. It highlights the critical "4Cs" (Critical Thinking, Creativity, Communication, and Collaboration) as indispensable skills for both teachers and students. Furthermore, it addresses the challenges inherent in implementing robust teacher leadership initiatives. It proposes strategic approaches for their development, ultimately demonstrating the profound impact of teacher leadership on student success and the future of education.

Defining Teacher Leadership in the 21st Century

Teacher leadership in the 21st Century extends beyond formal authority, emphasizing the crucial knowledge and skills that positively influence student learning. Teacher leaders as educators take on responsibilities outside the classroom while maintaining their teaching duties, actively influencing colleagues, principals, and the wider school community to enhance teaching and learning practices (Supovitz & Comstock, 2023; Wenner & Campbell, 2017; York-Barr & Duke, 2004). This broader role necessitates a deep understanding of instructional Leadership, often guided by specific frameworks and theories.

The Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium's (2011) model standards identify seven key domains that outline best practices for teacher leadership, encompassing instruction, assessment, collaboration, research, professional learning, advocacy, and community engagement. These domains provide a robust framework for teacher leaders to identify and address areas needing improvement within schools and to promote student achievement. For example, experienced teachers engage in collaborative planning, utilize data-driven assessment, foster teamwork, integrate research into teaching practices, and promote ongoing professional development (Afful S, 2025). Through roles as mentors and coaches, teacher leaders use observation to provide constructive feedback, assisting peers in refining their instruction for improved student outcomes (Afful S, 2025).

The demands of the 21st Century, particularly the shift from traditional to technology-integrated and virtual learning environments, underscore the necessity for teachers to possess advanced skills in technology, problem-solving, and decision-making (Mor Singh & Jaswant Singh, 2022). Educators must be adept at using technology to analyze problems, make informed choices, and generate new knowledge. The imperative to prepare "Generation Alpha" for a future profoundly shaped by smartphones and instant technology highlights the need for a fresh leadership style that prioritizes adaptability and strategic thinking (Kalii, 2021). Preparing generation alpha involves not only practical use of technology but also creating learning environments where students are active agents in the co-creation of knowledge, moving beyond mere teaching to a focus on learning (Mor Singh & Jaswant Singh, 2022).

Literature Review

This literature review investigates veteran teachers' perceptions of teacher leadership within the context of urban middle schools. The research draws from a variety of sources to construct a conceptual and theoretical framework for understanding the roles, benefits, barriers, and leadership styles relevant to veteran teacher leadership. Teacher leadership is a dynamic, multifaceted, and relational practice that significantly influences school improvement, professional development, and educational reform.

Conceptual Foundations of Teacher Leadership

Teacher leadership lacks a universally accepted definition, yet there is consensus that it involves the extension of teaching duties beyond classroom instruction to include mentoring, curriculum development, professional development, and school reform. Teacher leaders may function in formal roles (department chair, instructional coach) or informal ones (mentors, PLC participants). York-Barr and Duke (2004) describe teacher leadership as a process of influence aimed at improving teaching and learning. Katzenmeyer and Moller (2009) emphasize Leadership that emerges both within and beyond the classroom, asserting that all teachers have leadership potential.

Theoretical Frameworks

The literature identifies two major theories underpinning teacher leadership: Transformational Leadership Theory and Distributed Leadership Theory. Transformational Leadership emphasizes motivation, vision, and capacity-building (Bass, 1985), while distributed Leadership highlights the collaborative distribution of responsibilities among staff (Spillane, 2006). These frameworks validate the contributions of experienced teachers who may not hold formal administrative titles but act as instructional leaders and change agents.

Veteran as Teacher Leaders

Veteran teachers, defined as those with five or more years of experience, are often well-positioned to lead due to their classroom expertise, institutional knowledge, and mentoring capabilities. They frequently assume leadership roles such as team leads, department chairs, and mentors for novice teachers. Their Leadership is marked by credibility, deep pedagogical content knowledge, and a history of professional development engagement. However, veteran teachers may face systemic obstacles that prevent full participation in Leadership, such as hierarchical school cultures or administrative oversight.

Benefits and Challenges of Teacher Leadership

The literature underscores multiple benefits of teacher leadership: improved school performance, enhanced collaboration among staff, retention of high-performing teachers, and strengthened professional learning communities. Teacher leaders foster trust, share instructional strategies, and contribute to data-driven decision-making. Conversely, challenges include unclear role definitions, lack of time and administrative support, resistance from peers, and limited autonomy. These challenges are in urban school contexts, where systemic inequities may limit access to leadership opportunities for experienced educators.

Recent scholarship has explored the expanded scope of teacher leadership roles as educators increasingly engage in school-wide initiatives, policy dialogues, and research-based improvement efforts. Lieberman and Miller (2004) argue that teacher leadership evolves through professional identity formation, continuous learning, and collegiality. They stress that teacher leadership is developmental and influenced by the school's culture. Moreover, teacher leaders are seen not just as experts but as facilitators.

Transformational leaders serve as role models who inspire trust and enthusiasm among colleagues. They encourage creativity and recognize individual contributions (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Distributed Leadership, meanwhile, views Leadership as a shared endeavor. Spillane et al. (2004) describe Leadership as stretched over multiple actors and embedded in the interactions of individuals and their environment. This theory is instrumental in analyzing schools where collaboration is key to sustainable improvement.

The literature suggests that veteran teachers are cultural stewards within their schools. They often set informal norms, mentor new teachers, and offer stability in schools with high turnover. According to Crowther et al. (2002), effective veteran teacher leaders demonstrate pedagogical acumen, strong communication skills, and emotional intelligence. Their Leadership is rooted in practice rather than authority, which makes them uniquely positioned to influence colleagues' behaviors.

Research by Silva et al. (2000) notes that teacher leaders are instrumental in advancing reforms that enhance curriculum and instruction. They are key players in professional learning communities (PLCs), data teams, and school improvement committees. Nevertheless, ambiguity in their roles can generate conflict. Teachers may struggle with dual responsibilities, and their peers may resist taking advice from someone perceived as "just another teacher." Mangin and Stoelinga (2008) emphasize the importance of teacher leadership.

Connecting Teacher Leadership to 21st-Century Leadership Theories

Understanding teacher leadership in the 21st Century is significantly enhanced by exploring its connections to relational, complexity, and distributed leadership theories, each offering unique insights into how influence and change occur within educational systems. These theories provide a nuanced lens through which to analyze the dynamics of teacher leaders interacting with their colleagues, administrators, and the broader school community.

Relational Leadership Theory emphasizes that Leadership is a process of establishing and improving relationships to achieve common goals (Afful M, 2019; Cornstock et al., 2021). In the context of teacher leadership, this theory highlights the necessity for teachers to build strong connections with colleagues, principals, and other stakeholders to enhance teaching and learning practices. Afful S (2025) notes that both relational leadership theory and teacher leadership aim to develop strong relationships among individuals working towards a common objective. This collaborative spirit, where teachers are empowered to work together to achieve shared educational goals, is central to effective teacher leadership, particularly in addressing the complex challenges of improving student learning and achievement (York-Barr & Duke, 2004).

Complexity Theory of Leadership explores how patterns and phenomena emerge from multidimensional relationships and dynamic, nonlinear interactions among agents and elements within a system (Afful M, 2019; Cochran-Smith et al., 2014). For teacher leadership, this theory suggests that influence and change are not simply linear processes but arise from the intricate interplay of diverse perspectives and actions within a school. Afful S (2025) demonstrates how complexity theory can be applied to analyze and evaluate teacher leadership, providing a more nuanced understanding of its influence on education. This perspective helps in understanding the processes of change, development, learning, and evolution within the complex adaptive systems of schools, as explored by scholars such as Sands et al. (2022) and Uhl-Bien et al. (2007).

Distributed Leadership (DL), increasingly regarded as a promising approach, improves school organizational capacity by involving both principals and teachers in decision-making and task accomplishment regarding critical school issues (Hargreaves & Shirley, 2012). A distributed perspective assumes interaction between formal leaders with assigned roles and informal leaders who emerge from any position within the organizational community (Spillane & Diamond, 2007). Empirical evidence supports DL's relevance to organizational culture, educator commitment, academic capacity, and teaching effectiveness (Printy & Liu, 2021). This approach intensifies a school's organizational capacity by leveraging intellectual and social capital through trust, respect, collegiality, and teamwork (Heck & Hallinger, 2009; Hargreaves & Fink, 2006). Frameworks like those by Leithwood and colleagues (2007; Malloy & Leithwood, 2017) categorize distributed tasks, including developing people, redesigning organizational structure, and managing instructional practice, emphasizing the crucial role of teacher leaders in these functions.

The 4Cs of 21st-Century Leadership: Practical Implications

The implementation of education in the 21st Century profoundly differs from previous eras, aiming to prepare students for the post-industrial age and the challenges of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (Astuti et al., 2019). This shift necessitates that education no longer prepares individuals for a simple, static, or predictable world. Instead, it must cultivate four core learning characteristics, known as the "4Cs": Critical Thinking and Problem Solving, Creativity and Innovation, Communication, and Collaboration (Astuti et al., 2019). For teachers and teacher leaders, the practical implications of these 4Cs are extensive and transformative.

Critical Thinking and Problem Solving: Teachers must foster environments where students analyze information, evaluate arguments, and develop solutions to complex problems. This means moving beyond rote memorization to inquiry-based learning, case studies, and project-based approaches that demand deep cognitive engagement. Practically, teachers need to design activities that require students to question, investigate, and synthesize knowledge, such as through scientific experiments, debates, or real-world problem-solving scenarios.

Creativity and Innovation: Cultivating creativity involves enabling students to generate new ideas, think divergently, and approach challenges with originality. Teachers must encourage experimentation, embrace productive failure, and provide opportunities for students to express themselves through various media. This translates into encouraging artistic expression, design thinking challenges, or open-ended projects that allow students to explore novel solutions.

Communication: Effective communication encompasses verbal, written, and digital literacy. Teachers should create opportunities for students to articulate their thoughts clearly, listen actively, and engage in constructive dialogue. Practical applications include facilitating group discussions, requiring presentations, promoting digital storytelling, and engaging in collaborative writing projects that necessitate clear expression and active listening.

Collaboration: Collaboration is about working effectively with others towards a common goal, valuing diverse perspectives, and sharing responsibility. Teachers must design cooperative learning tasks, group projects, and team-based activities where students learn to negotiate, compromise, and contribute to collective outcomes. This involves structured group work, peer feedback sessions, and utilizing collaborative digital tools.

For teachers, possessing these 4Cs is paramount. As Mor Singh and Jaswant Singh (2022) suggest, 21st-century teachers require skill sets in employing technology tools to unravel problems, make informed decisions, and generate new knowledge. They must use pedagogical skills to create learning environments where "learning is the focus instead of just teaching," leading to the co-creation of knowledge with learner agency. Yue (2019) emphasizes that universities must innovate curriculum and instruction to equip pre-service teachers with these skills, including cross-cultural understanding and media literacy. This continuous professional development is vital for teachers to adapt and create learning outcomes that align with 21st-century demands (Astuti et al., 2019).

The Seven Domains of Teacher Leadership

Teacher leadership has emerged as a transformative element in the evolution of K-12 education. As schools increasingly confront complex challenges—from student achievement gaps to educator retention—veteran teachers are being called upon to assume leadership roles that transcend traditional classroom boundaries. Central to this evolution are the Seven Domains of Teacher Leadership, articulated by the Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium (2011). These domains guide educators in fostering collaboration.

Historical Evolution of the Seven Domains

Historically, teacher leadership was informal and based primarily on tenure and experience. Teachers who had proven themselves in the classroom were often tasked with mentoring new teachers or leading professional learning communities (PLCs). However, in the late 20th and early 21st centuries, national and state education reforms began to formalize the expectations around teacher leadership. The Teacher Leader Model Standards were developed in 2011 to delineate key areas where teacher leaders could support.

Overview of the Seven Domains

The Seven Domains of Teacher Leadership are:

1. Fostering a collaborative culture to support educator development and student learning.
2. Accessing and using research to improve practice and student learning.
3. Promoting professional learning for continuous improvement.
4. Facilitating improvements in instruction and student learning.

5. Promoting the use of assessments and data for school and student improvement.
6. Improving outreach and collaboration with families and the community.
7. Advocating for student learning and the teaching profession.

In Shaw Afful's dissertation, these domains serve as the core framework for understanding how veteran teachers perceive and enact leadership roles in urban middle schools. The study's participants described using assessments to drive instruction, fostering collaborative relationships, and participating in continuous professional development as essential behaviors aligned with these domains.

Best Practices for Implementing the Seven Domains

Best practices in implementing the Seven Domains begin with embedding teacher leadership into the school's strategic goals. Effective practices include:

- Creating leadership teams that involve veteran teachers in decision-making.
- Aligning teacher-led professional development with student achievement goals.
- Encouraging teacher leaders to use classroom data to identify instructional needs.
- Supporting mentoring and coaching roles for experienced teachers.
- Promoting relational trust through regular team collaboration and shared accountability.

Afful's qualitative findings support these practices. Veteran teachers highlighted collaborative planning, evidence-based instruction, and professional learning as essential strategies for enhancing instructional outcomes and school improvement. Moreover, participants underscored the importance of relational Leadership, noting that trust and mutual respect among colleagues were critical for sustaining school reform initiatives.

The Seven Domains of Teacher Leadership provide a powerful lens through which educators and administrators can understand and cultivate Leadership among veteran teachers. Their historical development reflects a broader professionalization of teaching and a shift toward distributed Leadership. As shown in Afful's study, when implemented with fidelity and supported through school culture and policy, these domains help foster instructional innovation, teacher collaboration, and meaningful student success.

Essential Competencies for 21st-Century Teacher Leaders

In an increasingly complex society and a rapidly changing technology-based economy, schools are challenged to develop frameworks that emphasize the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary for success in the 21st Century (Ismail et al., 2018). Competency is defined as the combination of knowledge, skills, and personal characteristics essential for completing a task or fulfilling a position (Ismail et al., 2018, citing Main & Hammond, 2008). This applies directly to 21st-century teacher leaders, who require a diverse set of competencies to navigate and lead in this dynamic educational environment.

Beyond the "4Cs," critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, collaboration, communication, and digital and technological literacy are essential determinants of success in both college and careers (Martinez, 2022, citing Dweck, Walton & Cohen, 2011; Schleicher, 2012). Teacher leaders must embody these skills, serving as models for their colleagues and students. Furthermore, personal attributes such as curiosity, resilience, and responsibility remain fundamental for today's leaders, emphasizing continuous self-improvement as a journey of personal and professional growth (Sun & Leithwood, 2015). Key leadership skills in the current educational environment also include teamwork, a high emotional quotient (EQ)—encompassing self-awareness, social understanding, and adept relationship management—and the ability to maintain focus (Berry & Fuller, 2018). Building committed teams is invaluable, and formal training should establish strategies and tools for driving change over time.

Teacher leaders also need to be socially equipped, skilled, creative, imaginative, and proficient in problem-solving and critical thinking to foster an environment of co-created knowledge (Mor Singh & Jaswant Singh, 2022). Key components of teacher leadership involve robust planning, effective communication, strong human relations, sound decision-making, and the innovative use of technology.

This demands a shift in pedagogical skills, where the focus moves from simply teaching to creating an environment where learning is central. These competencies collectively empower teacher leaders to positively influence student learning and achievement, ensuring students are prepared for a high-tech society (Jan, 2017; Xiaoyao, 2019).

Overcoming Challenges in Implementing Teacher Leadership

Implementing effective teacher leadership in the 21st Century faces several significant challenges, ranging from individual teacher readiness to systemic institutional barriers. Addressing these challenges is crucial for fostering a robust and impactful teacher leadership model.

One primary challenge is the lack of readiness among some teachers in effectively using Information and Communication Technology (ICT) tools. The rapid pace of technological change necessitates continuous updating of knowledge and skills, which not all educators are equipped to handle. This digital divide impacts the ability of teachers to maximize technology for student learning and to prepare students for a high-tech society. To counteract this, a fundamental shift in curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment practices within teacher education programs is required, ensuring that pre-service and in-service training actively integrates advanced technological competencies.

Beyond individual readiness, institutional challenges present substantial hurdles. These include a scarcity of adequate facilities, limited access to resources, and a lack of skills among teacher educators in effectively utilizing ICT tools for instructional purposes. Overcoming these systemic issues requires significant investment in infrastructure, development of relevant educational materials, and comprehensive professional development for teacher educators themselves. Such investments are essential to cultivate an environment where teacher leadership can thrive, enabling educators to fully embrace their roles as leaders in instructional improvement and technological integration.

Strategies for Developing Teacher Leadership

Developing robust teacher leadership requires multifaceted strategies that focus on nurturing individual competencies, fostering collaborative environments, and leveraging effective leadership models. These strategies aim to build capacity and empower teachers to drive educational advancements.

A key strategy involves focusing on talent leadership, which enhances teachers' professional competencies for sustainable development. This includes emphasizing collaboration with peers, engaging in active learning, and applying models of learning sustainability in practical settings. By fostering a culture of continuous growth and shared expertise, teachers can collectively improve their instructional practices and leadership capabilities. This collaborative approach recognizes that teacher leadership is not an isolated endeavor but a collective responsibility that contributes to overall school improvement.

Furthermore, transformational Leadership plays a crucial role in building teacher leadership capacity. This involves four key dimensions: idealized influence (serving as a role model), individual consideration (attending to individual needs), inspirational motivation (articulating a compelling vision), and intellectual stimulation (challenging assumptions and encouraging innovation). Leaders who embody transformational principles empower teachers to take initiative, engage in critical reflection, and embrace new pedagogies. Simultaneously, advocating for high-quality pre-service teacher education and sustained investment in teacher professional development is essential to promote the widespread adoption of 21st-century pedagogies, ensuring that future educators are well-prepared for leadership roles from the outset.

The Impact of Teacher Leadership on Student Success

Teacher leadership profoundly influences both school performance and, directly and indirectly, student success. When teachers take on leadership roles, they contribute significantly to an enhanced school environment that fosters improved instructional quality and student outcomes.

One key aspect of this impact is evident in the effectiveness of teaching practice courses. These courses are instrumental in helping teacher candidates acquire essential 21st-century skills, preparing them to lead and innovate in their future classrooms. By engaging in practical applications of pedagogical theories and developing competencies such as critical thinking, communication, and collaboration, pre-service teachers are better equipped to create dynamic and compelling learning experiences for their students. This foundational training ensures that newly qualified teachers enter the profession ready to embrace leadership responsibilities and implement modern teaching approaches.

Moreover, there is a positive correlation between school principals' technological leadership self-efficacy and their encouragement of 21st-century teacher skills. When principals demonstrate confidence and proficiency in leveraging technology for educational purposes, they are more likely to support and enable teachers in adopting technology-driven pedagogies. This symbiotic relationship between principal leadership and teacher leadership creates a supportive ecosystem where innovation thrives, directly benefiting student learning. The collective efforts of empowered teachers and supportive Leadership cultivate an educational environment where students are not only taught but actively engaged in learning the skills necessary for success in a rapidly evolving world.

Conclusion

Teacher leadership is not merely a desirable quality but a fundamental necessity for ensuring effective teaching and learning in the 21st Century.

The complex demands of a technologically advanced and rapidly changing global landscape require educators who can transcend traditional roles, embracing responsibilities that extend beyond the classroom to influence school-wide improvement and foster student success. This demands a proactive, adaptable, and collaborative approach to education, underpinned by specific leadership theories and essential competencies.

The ability of teacher leaders to leverage relational dynamics, navigate the intricacies of complexity theory, and effectively engage in distributed Leadership is paramount. These theoretical frameworks highlight how teacher influence, collaboration, and shared decision-making are vital for enhancing teacher quality and, consequently, student learning outcomes. Furthermore, the emphasis on the "4Cs"—Critical Thinking, Creativity, Communication, and Collaboration—underscores the core skills that 21st-century teachers must embody and cultivate in their students. While challenges persist, particularly concerning technological readiness and institutional support, strategic development initiatives focusing on professional growth and transformational Leadership can empower teachers to overcome these hurdles.

To support the continued evolution of education, educational institutions and policymakers must prioritize the development of teacher leadership. This includes investing in comprehensive pre-service and in-service professional development programs that equip teachers with advanced technological skills, foster the 4Cs, and promote leadership competencies. By championing a culture of continuous learning, collaborative practice, and empowered teacher leadership, we can ensure that future generations of students are not only prepared for the challenges of their time but are also equipped to shape a brighter future.

References

1. Afful Jr MO. African American Lived Experiences in a Shifting Demographics Towards a Hispanic Student Majority (2019).
2. Afful ST. Veteran Teachers' Perceptions of Teacher Leadership (2025).
3. Angelle PS. "Instructional Leadership and the School Change Process". Leadership and Policy in Schools 9.3 (2010): 291-309.
4. Astuti AP., et al. "Preparing 21st century teachers: Implementation of 4C character's pre-service teachers through teaching practice". Paper presented at Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1233.1 (2019): 012109.
5. Bass BM and Riggio RE. "Transformational Leadership". Psychology Press (2006).
6. Bellibas MS and Liu Y. "The effects of principals' perceived instructional and distributed leadership practices on their perceptions of school climate". International Journal of Leadership in Education 21.2 (2018): 226-244.

7. Berry B and Fuller E. "The emotional intelligence of effective leaders". Educational Leadership 75.5 (2018): 44-49.

8. Berry B, Daughtrey A and Wieder A. "Teacher leadership: The pathway to professional development". National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality (2013).

9. Cochran-Smith M., et al. "The challenge of complexity: Deconstructing the relationship between teacher education and teaching". Journal of Teacher Education 65.1 (2014): 7-21.

10. Cornstock SL, Supovitz JA and Nelson BR. "The design of a new professional development model". Teachers College Record 123.7 (2021): 1-30.

11. Danielson C. "Teacher Leadership That Strengthens Professional Practice". ASCD (2006).

12. Hallinger P. "Leading educational change: Reflections on the practice of instructional and transformational Leadership". Cambridge Journal of Education 33.3 (2003): 329-352.

13. Hargreaves A and Fink D. "Sustainable Leadership". Jossey-Bass (2006).

14. Hargreaves A and Shirley DL. "The global fourth way: The quest for educational excellence". Corwin Press (2012).

15. Harris A. "Distributed Leadership and School Improvement". Educational Management Administration & Leadership 32.1 (2004): 11-24.

16. Heck RH and Hallinger P. "Assessing the impact of distributed Leadership on school improvement: A comparison of two conceptual frameworks". Educational Administration Quarterly 45.1 (2009): 8-36.

17. Hulpia H, Devos G and Van Keer H. "The role of distributed Leadership in fostering teachers' organizational commitment". Educational Management Administration & Leadership 40.3 (2012): 357-380.

18. Ismail SN., et al. "Instructional Leadership and Teachers' Functional Competency across the 21st Century Learning". International Journal of Instruction 11.3 (2018): 135-152.

19. Jan H. "Teacher of the 21st Century: Characteristics and Development". Research on Humanities and Social Sciences 7.6 (2017): 50-54.

20. Kalii R. "The Characteristics of Generation Alpha and Its Implications for Education". Muallim Journal of Social Science and Humanities 5.1 (2021): 109-118.

21. Leithwood K., et al. Successful school leadership: What it is and how it influences pupil learning—Department for Education and Skills (2007).

22. Liu Y. "Distributed leadership and teachers' organizational commitment: Evidence from Chinese primary schools". Educational Management Administration & Leadership 44.6 (2016): 947-967.

23. Liu Y and Werblow J. "Distributed Leadership and teachers' job satisfaction: The mediating role of perceived organizational support". Educational Management Administration & Leadership 47.1 (2019): 127-147.

24. Malloy J and Leithwood KA. "Effects of Distributed Leadership on School Academic Press and Student Achievement". In K. Leithwood, J. Sun, & K. Pollock (Eds.), How school leaders contribute to student success (2017): 69-91.

25. Martin ME and Dismuke SJ. "Complex adaptive systems in educational Leadership". Journal of Educational Administration 56.3 (2018): 332-349.

26. Martinez C. "Developing 21st-century teaching skills: A case study of teaching and learning through project-based curriculum". Cogent Education 9.1 (2022).

27. Mor Singh AK and Jaswant Singh HS. "The Relationship of Teachers' Leadership Competency With 21st Century Teacher". International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences 11.1 (2022): 125-139.

28. Nguyen TPL, Supovitz JA and Sherer JL. "Formal and informal teacher leadership: The tradeoffs in relational dynamics". Journal of Educational Administration 57.5 (2019): 517-535.

29. Printy S and Liu Y. "Distributed Leadership globally: The interactive nature of principal and teacher leadership in 32 countries". Educational Administration Quarterly 57.2 (2021): 290-325.

30. Sands C, York A and Johnson LR. "Exploring teacher leadership through a complex adaptive systems lens". Journal of Educational Change 23.3 (2022): 395-414.

31. Spillane JP and Diamond JB. "Distributed Leadership in Practice". Teachers College, Columbia University (2007).

32. Spillane JP, Halverson R and Diamond JB. "Towards a theory of leadership practice: A distributed perspective". Journal of Curriculum Studies 36.1 (2004): 3-34.

33. Strom K, Dailey D and Pollock K. "Complexity theory and educational Leadership: A review of the literature". Journal of Educational Administration 56.3 (2018): 297-311.

34. Sun J and Leithwood K. "Principal personality, transformational Leadership, and teacher job satisfaction". Educational Administration Quarterly 51.4 (2015): 591-625.

35. Sun Y and Xia J. "Distributed leadership and teacher professional learning: The mediating role of trust". Educational Management Administration & Leadership 46.4 (2018): 578-597.

36. Supovitz JA and Comstock SL. "Teacher leadership: Moving beyond an either/or dilemma". Journal of Educational Administration 61.4 (2023): 369-385.

37. Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium. Model standards for teacher leadership (2011).

38. Uhl-Bien M Marion R and McKelvey B. "Complexity leadership theory: Shifting Leadership from the industrial-age to the information-age". The Leadership Quarterly 18.4 (2007): 298-319.

39. Wenner JA and Campbell T. "The theoretical and empirical basis of teacher leadership: A review of the literature". Review of Educational Research 87.4 (2017): 743-771.

40. Wenner JA and Campbell T. "The theoretical and empirical basis of teacher leadership: A review of the literature". Review of Educational Research 87.1 (2017): 134-171.

41. Xiaoyao Yue. "Exploring Effective Methods of Teacher Professional Development in the University for 21st Century Education". International Journal for Innovation Education and Research 7.11 (2019): 39-44.

42. York-Barr J and Duke K. "What do we know about teacher leadership? Findings from two decades of scholarship". Review of Educational Research 74.3 (2004): 255-316.